Attorney Docket No.: 12732-0223001 / US7068/7143/7203

IN THE LINITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Mitsuaki Osame et al. Art Unit: 2629

Serial No.: 10/807,545 Examiner: Alexander S. Beck

Filed: March 24, 2004 Conf. No.: 3777

Title : ELEMENT SUBSTRATE AND LIGHT-EMITTING DEVICE

Mail Stop Amendment

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REPLY TO ACTION OF JULY 22, 2010

In view of the following remarks reconsideration and allowance are requested.

Claims 3, 15, 17, 28, 32, 34-40, 43-47, 50-55 and 58-75 are pending in this application, with claims 3, 36-38, 61 and 69 being independent. Claims 1, 2, 4-14, 16, 18-27, 29-31, 33, 41, 42, 48, 49, 56 and 57 were previously canceled. The claims have not been amended in this reply.

Double Patenting Rejection

Claims 3, 15, 17, 28, 32, 34-40, 43-47, 50-55 and 58-75 stand rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double parenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 3 and 4 of Fukumoto (U.S. Patent No. 7,122,969) in view of Koyama (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2001/0002703). Applicants request reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection at least because the claims of Fukumoto, even when considered in conjunction with Koyama, do not describe or suggest that "a gate electrode of the first transistor is connected to a second power line," as recited in claims 3 and 36-38, and "a gate electrode of the first transistor is connected to the second power line," as recited in claims 61 and 69.

The Office Action stated that the limitations of claim 61 relate to the limitations of Fukumoto (the '969 patent) as shown in the marked-up version below of the table reproduced from the Office Action.